UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,093	09/15/2006	Shinobu Munekata	P71445US0	7832
	7590 05/01/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
	STREET N.W.		PICKARD, ALISON K	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,093	MUNEKATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alison K. Pickard	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u>	nuarv 2008.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - -				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστι Αμμικαιίστι				

Art Unit: 3676

2.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants'

Admitted Prior Art Figure 5 in view of JP '154 in view of Paykin '082.

Applicants' Figure 5 discloses a known sealing device comprising a washer 101, main lip 102, dust lip 103, and outer peripheral lip 104. A rod guide 111 contacts the washer and is fixed to the outer peripheral member. It has an inner surface close to the outer surface of the shaft with a proper fastening margin. Figure 5 does not disclose an auxiliary lip. JP '154 teaches a sealing device comprising a washer, main lip, dust lip. JP '154 teaches an auxiliary lip 32a which seals a rod guide (not shown). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Figure 5 to include the lip 32a to provide further sealing as taught by JP '154. Figure 5 does not disclose a backup ring. Paykin teaches the use of a back-up ring 15 between a portion of the main lip and washer to prevent extrusion of the main lip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Figure 5 with a back-up ring to prevent extrusion of the main lip.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paykin (5,326,112) in view of Paykin '082 in view of Schmidt (6,511,075).

Art Unit: 3676

Paykin '112 discloses a sealing device comprising a washer 14, main lip (near 28) fitted to a concave portion (near line 22) in the washer, a backup ring 48, and outer peripheral lip (near 16). Paykin does not disclose that the concave portion terminates in a surface perpendicular to the rising portion of the concave. Schmidt teaches concave portions in washers of sealing devices for holding the sealing lips. Figures 3 and 4 show the concave as being more square/rectangular, thus the rising portion terminates in a perpendicular surface. The feature is obvious because the substitution of a known element for another would have yielded predictable results for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Paykin '112 does not appear to disclose a dust lip. Paykin '082 teaches a sealing device with a washer, main lip, and backup ring. Paykin '082 teaches the use of a dust lip 44 to exclude contaminants. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Paykin '112 with the dust lip of '082 to exclude contaminants.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Watanabe (2007/0052180) in view of Japanese Patent 2004-019866 (JP' 866).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the

Art Unit: 3676

application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(1)(1) and § 706.02(1)(2).

Watanabe discloses a sealing device comprising a washer 21, auxiliary washer 11, rod guide 112, main lip 12, outer peripheral lip 13, backup ring 3, and dust lip 22. The backup ring is separated form the auxiliary washer by the main lip. Watanabe does not disclose that the auxiliary lip is L-shaped with a cylinder portion fit between washer 21 and outer member 111. JP '866 teaches a sealing device with a main washer 14, auxiliary washer 15, main lip 16 and dust lip. JP '866 teaches equivalent shapes for the auxiliary washers in Figures 1 and 4. Making the washer L-shaped is considered obvious because the substitution of a known, equivalent element for another would have yielded predictable results for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3676

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer Gay can be reached on 571-272-7029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alison K. Pickard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676

AP